



Final

DATE: July 7, 2006
TO: AFAC
FROM: Dick Stone, Chair
SUBJECT: July 6, 2006 AFAC Meeting Final Action Notes

AFAC Meeting
July 6, 2006
CBFWA Office, Portland, OR

Action Notes

Attendees: Dick Stone (WDFW), Phil Roger (CRITFC), Neil Ward and Tom Iverson (CBFWA)

By Phone: Dave Ward (ODFW)

| | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|------|
| Time | Objective 1. Committee Participation | 100% |
| Allocation: | Objective 2. Technical Review | % |
| | Objective 3. Presentation | % |

ITEM 1: Selection of New Chair and Vice-chair

Discussion: Due to the low attendance, this item was deferred until the next AFAC meeting.

ITEM 2: Review Programmatic Comments to NPCC on ISRP Preliminary Report

Discussion: On June 19, the AFAC members were requested to provide comments on the ISRP programmatic comments to Tom Iverson for the development of a CBFWA response to NPCC. Several members provided brief comments. Generally the comments fell into the following categories:

- 1) The ISRP technical review was generally good, but the ISRP review failed when their review was not informed by current management agreements driving specific projects. The AFAC members felt that the ISRP comments should be changed from Fund/Do Not Fund to Technically Sound/Not Technically Sound. The management prioritization should then follow the technical review.
- 2) The ISRP recommended providing topical and targeted reviews for certain programs and issues. The topical reviews do not fit the current subbasin structure of the Program, but the AFAC members support CBFWA facilitating topical and targeted reviews as they have done in the past.
- 3) The ISRP called for linking the biological data being collected by projects with the project data being collected within PISCES. Although this would be helpful, the PISCES project is still behind on collecting biological data. The CBFWA Status of the Resource Project fits this need very well, tying biological data across the basin with project specific data.

**ITEM 2:
Discussion
Cont:**

- 4) The ISRP called for returning to the review process employed during the rolling province review. The AFAC agrees with this idea and supports CBFWA facilitating the review. The roles of ISRP and CBFWA should be clearly defined and a feedback loop should be provided similar to the last rolling province review process.

The AFAC asked Tom to consolidate the AFAC comments with the RFAC comments to create a draft set of issues for the MAG to consider at their next meeting.

ACTION: The AFAC approved moving these issues forward to MAG to include in an eventual letter from CBFWA to NPCC commenting on the ISRP Programmatic Comments.

ITEM 3: Review Critical Project and Task Lists for Subbasin Plan Implementation

Discussion: On June 19, the AFAC members were requested to provide a list of projects or tasks from projects that did not make the funding cut within the local province reviews. Several members provided lists of projects and/or tasks that are considered critical to implementation of the Fish and Wildlife Program or Subbasin Plans, but are unlikely to be funded in the 2007-2009 funding process. Tom Iverson is assembling those project lists and will provide the table with the action notes for this meeting. The AFAC will review the list, make modifications, and then forward the list to the MAG on July 18, 2006.

ITEM 6: Next Meeting

The AFAC did not schedule the next meeting. It is likely that the Chair and Vice-chair will no longer be members of CBFWA. The AFAC approved the incoming Anadromous Fish Management Coordinator to schedule the next meeting as necessary in August or September.