

WORST POSSIBLE OUTCOMES
(What I don't Want to Happen)

- ***It will be all talk no action. We don't identify priority issues.***
- ***We avoid an honest discussion of the issues that are driving the members (recently). We spend endless discussions on various topics with no attempt to boil the time down to a decision point.***
- ***CBFWA becomes polarized on major issues of focus. CBFWA members reach a consensus than later disagrees with the decision ~ (a change of mind).***
- ***We will not resolve the issue of membership critical to CBFWA – UCUT issues. We don't want to see the UCUT's separate from CBFWA.***
- ***People leave the process angry with each other and with no resolution of the issues. We split up CBFWA due to looking and focusing on one species of fish (salmon).***

WORST POSSIBLE OUTCOMES (What I don't Want to Happen)

It will be all talk no action. *We will see no identified goals (short - mid - or long term). There will be no clarification of member roles & responsibilities and no clarification of committee roles & responsibilities. We will not have at least a discussion on participation and roles of participants. There will be no open discussions.*

We don't identify priority issues. *There will be no clear intent of this meeting and we drag on about our issues. We will leave the meeting without a renewed commitment by members to a specific set of tasks for staff and committees that reflect our work plan priorities. We leave without defining CBFWA action steps necessary to address priority "process" concerns. We are unable to identify priority issues or direction.*

We avoid an honest discussion of the issues that are driving the members (recently). *There has been bad or incorrect information going out to the region i.e., maps. UCUT tribe issues are not addressed by members ~ and member status is not discussed. We spend time on issues that are beyond our control and fail to address key membership issues.*

We spend endless discussions on various topics with no attempt to boil the time down to a decision point. *We will use our time on issues we can not influence. We will cluster into agency/entity groups for unimportant conversations. There will be a lack of direction from the meeting.*

CBFWA becomes polarized on major issues of focus. *There is a sense of frustration with the organization. We will not focus on BPA issues or NOAA issues per se. We will not focus on program allocation in detail. We simply shorten the presenters talk with more position statements*

CBFWA members reach a consensus than later disagrees with the decision ~ (a change of mind). *There will be an inability to reach consensus on CBFWA participation and influence pertaining to opportunities available to contribute to major regional processes affecting few resources.*

We will not resolve the issue of membership critical to CBFWA – UCUT issues. *We will not reach consensus. There will be more discussion and excuses from BPA. The BPA is saying we ~ as CBFWA should help them ~ so no.*

We don't want to see the UCUT's separate from CBFWA. *We see members drop out of CBFWA or continue to participate without a commitment to meet their responsibilities in the context of responsibility to a consensus based organization.*

People leave the process angry with each other and with no resolution of the issues.
Everyone walks away from the meeting and forgets how to move forward collaboratively and constructively. *There are empty promises.*

We split up CFWA due to looking and focusing on one species of fish (salmon).
We need to think outside the box for answering this question. *There are experiments in old habitat that have been blocked.*