

January 23, 2001

TO: Resident Fish Committee (RFC)

Neil Ward, Resident Fish Analyst FROM:

Draft Actions Notes for January 22, 2001, RFC Meeting (Phone Conference) SUBJECT:

If there are no objections within five days, these actions will be considered final.

Mike Faler (USFWS), Joe Maroney (KT), Sue Ireland (KTOI), Dave **Attendees:**

Ward (ODFW), Ron Morinaka (BPA, Dave Statler (NPT), Neil Ward

(CBFWA)

Time Allocation:

CBFWA Members Coordination Contract*

Objective 1. FY 2001 Project Renewal Process	100%
Objective 2. Rolling Province Review	0%
Objective 3. FY 2000 Project Adjustments	0%
Objective 4. Watershed and Subbasin Assessment and Plan	0%
Objective 5. Coordinate Program Amendments	0%

^{*} Not all RFC agenda items support the objectives identified in the coordination contract.

ITEM 1: Discuss Possible Changes to Today's Agenda

Action: No changes were made to the agenda.

ITEM 2: Review and Approve the High Priority Project Proposal Review

Performed by the RFC Ad Hoc Work Group

The ad hoc work group submitted their High Priority project proposal reviews and recommendations to the full RFC for review and approval for submittal to the Members Management Group (MMG). The work group identified three resident fish-specific proposals (i.e., 23016, 23017, and 23021) that met the Northwest Power Planning Council's (NWPPC) and the Bonneville Power Administration's (BPA) criteria for the High Priority solicitation. However, three additional resident fish-specific proposals (i.e., 23037, 23063, and 23066) did not meet

the criteria.

The Northwest Power Planning Council and the Bonneville Power Administration established criteria for the High Priority solicitation that limits fundable projects to habitat based efforts. On January 18, 2001, Tom Iverson distributed a memo which suggested placing proposals in one of four categories (i.e., high priority, projects needed or required by current biological opinion, projects that address other needed actions or establish data and infrastructure that will be necessary for subbasin planning and implementation, and not high priority). Following the actions of the Anadromous Fish Committee, the RFC adopted the use of the additional categories to group the three projects that did not meet the High Priority "gatekeepers."

Action:

The RFC approved the ad hoc work group's categorization of Proposals 23016, 23017, and 23021 as High Priority and recommended that the high priority recommendation be forwarded to the MMG.

Action:

The RFC categorized Proposals 23037, 23063, and 23066 as Other High Priority per the January 18, 2001 memo.

ITEM 3: Next Meeting

The Mountain Columbia Province Subbasin Teams will be conducting project proposal reviews in Kalispell, MT from February 27 – March 1, 2001. Members of the RFC are encouraged to attend these meetings to assist in the technical review of the proposals.

Although a date has not been set, the next RFC meeting will be during the week of March 5-9, 2001. During this meeting the RFC will review and approve the project recommendations developed by the managers in the Mountain Columbia Province.

 $H\w\rfc\2001_0122\Action\ Notes.doc$