NHI

1. How is it currently being used?
    a. Wildlife Monitoring and Implementation strategy
        i. HLI strategy
    b. Willamette Valley Mitigation
        i. Guidelines
    c. Inventory of what NHI products have been provided to the managers
2. HEP Maps
    a. 60% of maps from NHI
    b. Cover types important for managers
    c. Possible interactive maps incorporating transect data
    d. Cost – current $10K from HEP budget
    e. Institutional knowledge
3. HEP data archiving
    a. None at this time
    b. Cost of moving data
    c. Why is NHI better than StreamNet?
        i. Minimum protect and preserve any data that goes into the HEP reports
        ii. Vegetation transect data
        iii. In process now of deciding future of HEP and monitoring strategy
            1. Don't want to close doors before these issues are decided
            2. Come back at future date once the above decisions are made
            3. What are the capabilities of StreamNet? Can they handle the data
                a. Copyright issues?
                b. Condition of use – current – not to be served by 3rd party
                c. Ability to serve wildlife data at the same time as taking care of fish needs.
                d. What is the reason to move it?
                e. Loss of metadata
4. Need more time
    a. Ask for time certain to come back with recommendation
5. Future of NHI
    a. See spreadsheet
    b. Supporting BPA conservation easement compliance checks e.g. remote sensing
    c. Data could be lost or compromised if not maintained over time. Why take the risk?
    d. Value to the public – what responsibility to provide to public?
    e. Management plan development – need for updating and building more ecologically based plans.
    f. UWMEP– how does it possibly fit into the mix?
        i. Who manages the data?
        ii. Beta version for managing data being developed by Kalispel Tribe.